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Executive Summary:

This report outlines the analyses performed to ensure a clear understanding and proper implementation of specific individual requirements, particularly in relation to Student#2. During the review of the requirements for this delivery, it became evident that one of them needed further examination, primarily because some aspects of its definition were unclear.
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# INTRODUCTION

This report seeks to document the analysis performed on the requirements for the assigned deliverable. During the evaluation process, the team recognized the necessity for a more in-depth analysis of a managerial requirement, as its statement lacked clarity.

In this report, we will outline the analysis process undertaken and the conclusions that were drawn. This thorough examination aims to provide a strong basis for the effective implementation of the requirement, ensuring it aligns with the project's objectives.

# REQUIREMENT TO BE ANALYZED

## Managerial Requirement 2

**Verbatim copy of the requirement:**

*“Provide a link to your planning dashboard in GitHub to review the tasks, their current status, and your schedule.”*

This requirement necessitates the inclusion of a link to Student#2's planning dashboard. However, the exact location for this link hasn't been clearly specified.

After considering various options for organizing the link to the planning dashboard on GitHub, our group has agreed to create a structured directory within “reports/Deliverable 1/.” This will include specific subfolders for each student, along with an additional folder for group materials.

By implementing this approach, we aim to facilitate the lecturer’s evaluation process by providing direct and organized access to the individual links for each student. This structure not only enhances the organization of our deliverables but also allows each student to have dedicated space for their reports, clearly separating individual contributions from group work.

Below, you will find the link to the lecturer's validation of this analysis, which includes input from one of our group members. The lecturer's response in this conversation will direct the reader to another forum thread where this topic has already been discussed by other colleagues

[Link to the thread](https://ev.us.es/webapps/discussionboard/do/message?action=list_messages&course_id=_89154_1&nav=discussion_board&conf_id=_426211_1&forum_id=_253522_1&message_id=_458392_1)

# CONCLUSIONS

The analysis presented in this document provides a thorough evaluation of the requirements for Delivery 01 of Student #2. It highlights ambiguities, identifies issues, explores potential solutions, and makes informed decisions to improve requirement clarity and facilitate project progress.

Each analysis was carefully documented, ensuring that final conclusions received approval from the lecturer. By capturing the original requirements, the challenges faced, the proposed solutions, and the final resolutions, this report serves as a transparent reference for the decision-making process. The lecturer's endorsement further confirms the validity and feasibility of these final decisions.

These records of analysis offer a clear explanation for the choices made. Moving forward, these decisions will form a solid foundation for the implementation of the requirements as intended by the lecturers, ensuring accuracy while reducing the risk of errors and misunderstandings.
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